Joseph V. Coppola, Sr.

HONIGMAN

Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP Attorneys and Counselots (248) 566-8500 Fax: (248) 566-8501

DEPENDED LOOP DO LA COM

GENTRAL FAX CENTER

Fax Transmission January 5, 2005

Pages (including cover): 45

JAN 0 5 2005

Recipient(s)	Company	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fax Number
USPTO	USPTO		703-872-9306

209724-83171

Message:

STATUS INQUIRY. CHANGE OF CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS.

S.N. 10/606,989

The information contained in this facsimile may be confidential and may also be subject to the attorney-client privilege or may constitute privileged work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the agent or employee responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication may be subject to legal restriction or sanction. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, to urrange for return or destruction of the information and all copies. Thank you.

Practitioner's Docket No. 209724-83171

PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Michael Gavlak

Application No.: 10 / 606,989

989 Group No.:

Examiner:

Filed: 6/26/03

For: Change Management System

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 0 5 2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATUS INQUIRY

WARINING: Submission of a status letter after a No in patent term adjustment under 37 C. 111–112, June 26, 2001.	tice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG		
. More than $\frac{18}{}$ months have passe	d since		
図 NEW APPLICATIONS			
the filing of this application on -	6/26/03		
	ceived from the Patent and Trademark Office		
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS			
the filing of a response on			
No further communication has I Office.	been received from the Patent and Trademark		
□ APPEALED APPLICATION			
The Appeal Brief was filed	on		
CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)			
hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:			
MAILING			
deposited with the United States Postal Service in Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.		
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *		
T MIGI ORINOTHI POSTES	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No		
	riaming days in the same of th		
	ISMISSION 9306		
facalmile transmitted to the Patent and Tradema	rk Office, (703) -3-7-05		
Dette: 1-5-05	Signature O  Signature O  Oyce Krumpe  (type or print name of person cartifying)		

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 1 of 3)

<sup>\*</sup>Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

248 566 8311

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

#### "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Farm PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

### "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facle proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Reg. No.: 33,373

Tel. No.: ( 248 ) 566-8500

Customer No.: 44200

Joseph V. Coppola

(type or print name of practitioner)

Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP

32270 Telegraph Road, Suite 225

P.O. Address

Bingham Farms, MI 48025

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

FORM 9-3

9-13

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIÇ.	ATIO	N SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
		APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
		•
APPEAL	- NO	
	IS A	WAMING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER- CES
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
		DECISIONI EXPECTED

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 3 of 3)